IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA

WRIT PETITION NO : 5324 of 2005

Between:

1 Sanapala Ramu, S/o. Late Madehava Swamy,
2 Sanapala Varahanarasimham, S/o. Late Suryanarayana,
R/o. at Madhavadhara, Visakhapatnam District.
3 Sanapala Satyanarayana, S/o. Late Suryanarayana,
R/o. at Madhavadhara, Visakhapatnam District.
4 Sanapala Ananda Rao, S/o. Late Suryanarayana,
R/o. at Madhavadhara, Visakhapatnam District.
5 Sanapala Appala Murthy, S/o. Suryanarayana,
R/o. at Madhavadhara, Visakhapatnam District.
6 Sanapala Venkata Ramana, S/o. Suryanarayana,
R/o. at Madhavadhara, Visakhapatnam District.
7 Sanapala Ramayamma, W/o. Madhava Swamy, (late)
R/o. at Madhavadhara, Visakhapatnam District.
8 Sanapala Varahanarasimham, S/o. Late Madhava Swamy,
R/o. at Madhavadhara, Visakhapatnam District.
9 Sanapala Venkataramana, S/o. Madhava Swamy,
R/o. at Madhavadhara, Visakhapatnam District.
10 Sanapala Shankara Rao, S/o. Madhava Swamy, (late)
R/o. at Madhavadhara, Visakhapatnam District.
11 Sanapala Venkata Suryanarayana Murthy, S/o. Narasimham, (late)
R/o. at Madhavadhara, Visakhapatnam District.
12 Sanapala Satyanarayana, S/o. Varahanarasimham,
R/o. at Madhavadhara, Visakhapatnam District.
13 Sanapala Apamma, w/o. Appala Narasaiah, (late)
R/o. at Madhavadhara, Visakhapatnam District.
14 Sanapala Appikonda, S/o. Appala Narasaiah, (late)
R/o. at Madhavadhara, Visakhapatnam District.
15 Sanapala Narasimha Murthy, S/o. Late Appala Narasaiah,
R/o. Madhavadhara, Visakhapatnam District.
16 Sanapala Govinda, S/o. Late Appala Narasiaha,
R/o. at Madhavadhara, Visakhapatnam District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 Government of A.P., rep. by its Prl. Secretary to Govt,
Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner of Endowments, Endowments Department, Hyderabad.
3 The Sub-Registrar, Gopala Patnam, Visakhapatnam.
4 Sri Varaha Lashminarasimha Swamy Vari Devasthanam, Simhachalam,
Visakhapatnam District, rep. by its executive Officer.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to Issue an appropriate order, direction or a writ particularly one in the nature of a Writ of Mandmaus directing the 2nd respondent to consider the claim of the petitioners for settlement under Section 89 of A.P. Charitable and Hindu Religious Institutions and Endowments Act, 1987 with the advise o the Committee constituted by the Government in G.O.Ms.No.253, Revenue Endowments IV (2) Department dt. 03.03.2005 for regularisation of occupation of the petitioners in respect of the lands in Survey No.291, 292 and 293 of total extent of Acs. 3.69 Cents being parts of areas called Barilova Thota and Budagala Metta of Adaivaram Village, in Chinnagaditi Mandal of Visakhapatnam District within 2 weeks from the date of receipt of the orders from this Hon'ble Court and to pass such other order or orders.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.KOKA RAGHAVA RAO

Counsel for the Respondents 1 to 3: GP FOR ENDOWMENTS

Counsel for the Respondent No: 4 : Mr. M. Adinarayana Raju.

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

***ORAL ORDER*:**

Heard both sides. Perused the material placed before me.

Petitioners seek a direction to the second respondent to dispose of their claim petition dated 10-3-2005 as expeditiously as possible as contemplated under G.O. Ms. No. 253, dated 3-3-2005.

As per the said G.O., a committee was constituted and it came into existence with effect from 3-3-2005. It further appears that the petitioners submitted a claim petition dated 10-3-2005 to the second respondent seeking settlement. It further appears from the impugned G.O. that the committee has to complete the work entrusted to it within three months from the date of its constitution.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are apprehending that their application may not fall for consideration within short span of three months time.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, there is no need or urgency to pass any order in this writ petition. However, in view of the anxiety expressed by the petitioners, suffice it to close the writ petition with a hope that the committee would stand up to its expectations as enumerated in G.O. Ms. No. 253, Revenue Endowments-IV (2) Department, dated 03-3-2005.

Accordingly, the writ petition is closed at the stage of admission. No costs.

---

kvsn 16-03-2005

To

1 Government of A.P., rep. by its Prl. Secretary to Govt,
Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

2 The Commissioner of Endowments, Endowments Department, Hyderabad.

3 The Sub-Registrar, Gopala Patnam, Visakhapatnam.

4 Sri Varaha Lashminarasimha Swamy Vari Devasthanam, Simhachalam,
Visakhapatnam District, rep. by its executive Officer.

5 Two CCs to GP for Endowments, High Court buildings, Hyderabad.

6 Two CD copies.